## HANDWRITTEN REVISIONS BY JUDGE NOTED BELOW. ADDITIONS AS BOLD, DELETIONS AS STRIKETHROUGH

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA JACKSONVILLE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Civil No.: 99-516-Civ-J-20A
V.	)	
FLORIDA ROCK INDUSTRIES, INC.; HARPER BROS., INC.; COMMERCIAL TESTING, INC.; and DANIEL R. HARPER,	) ) )	Filed:
Defendants.	) )	

## STIPULATION AND ORDER

It is stipulated by and between the undersigned parties, by their respective attorneys, as follows:

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over each of the parties hereto, and venue of this action is proper in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida.
- 2. The parties stipulate that a Final Judgment in the form hereto attached may be filed and entered by the Court, upon the motion of any party or upon the Court's own motion, at any time after compliance with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (15 U.S.C. § 16), and without further notice to any party or other proceedings, provided that the United States has not withdrawn its consent, which it may do at any time before the entry of the proposed Final Judgment by

serving notice thereof on defendants and by filing that notice with the Court, on or before September 15, 1999.

- 3. Defendants shall abide by and comply with the provisions of the proposed Final Judgment pending entry of the Final Judgment or until expiration of time for all appeals of any court ruling declining entry of the proposed Final Judgment, and shall, from the date of the signing of this Stipulation by the parties, comply with all the terms and provisions of the proposed Final Judgment as though they were in full force and effect as an order of the Court.
- 4. Defendants shall not consummate the transaction sought to be enjoined by the Complaint herein before the Court has signed the Hold Separate Stipulation and Order.
- 5. This Stipulation shall apply with equal force and effect to any amended proposed Final Judgment agreed upon in writing by the parties and submitted to the Court.
- 6. In the event (a) the United States has withdrawn its consent, as provided in paragraph 2 above, or (b) the proposed Final Judgment is not entered pursuant to this Stipulation, the time has expired for all appeals of any Court ruling declining entry of the proposed Final Judgment, and the Court has not otherwise ordered continued compliance with the terms and provisions of the proposed Final Judgment, then the parties are released from all further obligations under this Stipulation, and the making of this Stipulation shall be without prejudice to any party in this or any other proceeding.

7. Defendants represent that the divestiture ordered in the proposed Final Judgment can and will be made, and that the defendants will later raise no claim of hardship or difficulty as grounds for asking the Court to modify any of the divestiture provisions contained therein.

Dated: May \_25\_\_\_, 1999

FOR	PLA	INI	TFF	UNI	$\Gamma$ ED	STA	TES:

/s/\_\_\_\_

Frederick H. Parmenter U.S. Department of Justice Antitrust Division Litigation II Section Suite 3000 Washington, D.C. 20530

Telephone: (202) 307-0620 Facsimile: (202) 307-6283

FOR DEFENDANT FLORIDA ROCK INDUSTRIES, INC.

\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_

Eugene J. Meigher Arent Fox 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-5339 Telephone: (202) 857-6048 Facsimile: (202) 857-6395

\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_

Lewis S. Lee LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae 50 N. Laura Street Jacksonville, Florida 32202-3650 Telephone: (904) 630-5322 Facsimile: (904) 353-1673

FOR DEFENDANTS HARPER BROS., INC., COMMERCIAL TESTING, INC. AND DANIEL R. HARPER

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_

Neil Imus Vinson & Elkins L.L.P. The Willard Office Building 1455 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-1008 Telephone: (202) 639-6675

Facsimile: (202) 639-6604

## <u>O R D E R</u>

APPROVED FOR ENTRY	and ORDERED¹ this27th_ day of May, 1999, at
Jacksonville, Florida.	
	/s/
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

 $<sup>^{\</sup>scriptscriptstyle 1}$  Final Judgement and Proposed Final Judgement mean the same thing.